Case	9.19-DK-11573-IVIB	Main Document	Page 1 of 8	
1	O'MELVENY & MY			
2	Evan M. Jones (S.B. # 115827) Brian M. Metcalf (S.B. # 205809) Darren L. Patrick (S.B. # 310727) 400 South Hope Street, 18 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407 E-mail: ejones@omm.com E-mail: bmetcalf@omm.com			
3				
4				
5				
6	E-mail: dpatrick@om			
7	Gary Svirsky (N.Y. SBN: 2899417) Samantha M. Indelicato (N.Y. SBN: 5598263)			
8				
9	New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 326	-2000		
10	Facsimile: (212) 326-2061 E-mail: gsvirsky@omm.com E-mail: sindelicato@omm.com			
11				
12	Attorneys for UBS AG, London Branch			
13	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
14 15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - NORTHERN DIVISION			
16	In re:		Case No. 9:19-bk-11573-MB	
17	HVI CAT CANYON,	INC	Chapter 11	
18	Debtor.	inc.,	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO	
19	Detion.		DECLARATIONS IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT OF RANDEEP GREWAL	
20			AND ERNESTO OLIVARES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE	
21			[DKT. 302-1, 302-2]	
22			Hearing Date: October 3, 2019	
23			Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 201	
24			1415 State Street	
25			Santa Barbara, California	
26	UBS AG, London Branch ("UBS") submits the following evidentiary objections to the			
27			ideep Grewal and the Declaration In Lieu Of Affidavit	
28				
	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS			

of Mr. Ernesto Olivares attached to the Debtor's *Opposition To Motion In Limine* filed on September 27, 2019. See Docket Nos. 302 (Opposition to Motion In Limine); 302-1 (Grewal Declaration); 302-2 (Olivares Declaration). Both Declarations should be stricken in their entirety.

Judge Wiles has already ruled that the 2016 Reserve Report—in the absence of any testimony from its creator, Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. ("NSAI")—is inadmissible hearsay. See Docket No. 83 (Motion in Limine) at 3-4. At the first day hearing, the Court explained at length that the Debtor simply cannot rely on the 2016 Reserve Report "without something to validate what [it is] and how fit] was prepared and testimony by the author ... that it actually was prepared and is valid and states an honest opinion that still exists." Id. at 6; Hr'g Tr. at 45:19-46:7 (emphasis added). Nothing in the Grewal Declaration or Olivares Declaration supplies any such foundation, and no such foundation exists. Indeed, during the first day hearing, this is what the Debtor's lead counsel said to Mr. Grewal: "I mean, I'm not calling you as a petroleum expert, but I'm calling you as an entrepreneur and as an owner and as an operator in this particular debtor case." Hr'g Tr. at 44:8–11. The Court then emphasized the point by stating that "you haven't qualified [Mr. Grewal] as an expert, you've asked him based on different grounds, so I don't know how he can testify as to a value based on a hearsay report." Id. at 45:22–25. The Reserve Report was hearsay then, and it is hearsay now.

In their Declarations, Mr. Grewal and Mr. Olivares attempt to evade Judge Wiles's evidentiary ruling by belatedly characterizing the 2016 Reserve Report as a "business record" of the Debtor under the Federal Rules of Evidence. This attempt utterly fails. The Declarations do not (and cannot) lay any foundation for their assertion that "NSAI ... is familiar knowledgeable [sic] of the type of assets evaluated" in the Reserve Report. Grewal Declaration at ¶ 4; FRE 803(6)(A). There is no testimony in either Declaration that the creation of the 2016 Reserve

The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay $[\ldots]$:

¹ Federal Rule of Evidence 803 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

⁽⁶⁾ Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity. A record of an act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis if:

⁽A) the record was made at or near the time by — or from information transmitted by — someone with knowledge;

Report was a "regular practice" of the Debtor. FRE 803(6)(C). On its face, nothing seems regular about the creation of a supposedly annual report that was last created three years ago. Moreover, neither Mr. Grewal nor Mr. Olivares asserts that he is the custodian of the Debtor's records. FRE 803(6)(D).

The Declarations are also untimely. They violate Judge Wiles's initial scheduling order—which required all evidence to be submitted with the relevant pleading (foundation certainly being part of evidence)—and this Court's September 24, 2019 *Scheduling Order Following Chapter 11 Status Conference* entered [Docket No. 251] (the "Scheduling Order"). The Scheduling Order set forth in painstaking detail what additional papers were permitted to be filed before the cash collateral hearing on October 3, 2019. This Court permitted parties to submit evidentiary objections "in connection with the Cash Collateral Motion, the Surcharge Motion, [and] the Motion in Limine" no later than September 27, 2019. See Scheduling Order at ¶1(e). The Court did not permit the Debtor to file reply argument or purported new evidence or testimony in opposition to the Motion in Limine. Finally, the Declarations violate LBR 9013-1(i)(2), which does not permit a party to respond to an evidentiary objection by belatedly offering the foundation that should already have been laid. Id.

To be clear, the testimony in the Declarations consists entirely of incompetent lay opinion, speculation, and conclusory legal argument that is inadmissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence:

⁽B) the record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted activity of a business, organization, occupation, or calling, whether or not for profit;

⁽C) making the record was a regular practice of that activity;

⁽D) <u>all these conditions are shown by the testimony of the custodian or another qualified witness</u>, or by a certification that complies with Rule 902(11) or (12) or with a statute permitting certification; and

⁽E) neither the opponent does not show that the source of information nor or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness.

See FRE 803(6) (emphasis added).

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 327 Filed 10/01/19 Entered 10/01/19 07:37:26 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 8

OBJECTIONS TO RANDEEP GREWAL'S DECLARATION IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT

lo.	Objectionable Testimony	Grounds for Objection	Sustained / Overruled
•	¶ 3. "The Report was	Objections: lack of foundation (FRE 602), inadmissible opinion.	
		conclusory (FRE 701),	SUSTAINED
	Report was drafted by NSAI, which is familiar knowledgeable of the type of assets evaluated therein, in 2016."	speculative (FRE 602), misleading (FRE 403).	
		Mr. Grewal does not (and cannot)	
		assertion that NSAI is familiar	
		with the assets described in the	OVERRULED
		conclusory assertion is based on	
		qualified to speak on behalf of NSAI.	
		foundation or factual support for	
		"maintained in the ordinary	
		course of business." Notably, the	
		Debtor does not appear to have commissioned any Reserve	
		Report other than the 2016 Reserve Report.	
		¶ 3. "The Report was maintained in the ordinary course of business. Further, the Report was drafted by NSAI, which is familiar knowledgeable of the type of assets evaluated therein, in	# 3. "The Report was maintained in the ordinary course of business. Further, the Report was drafted by NSAI, which is familiar knowledgeable of the type of assets evaluated therein, in 2016." # 3. "The Report was maintained in the ordinary course of business. Further, the Report was drafted by NSAI, which is familiar knowledgeable of the type of assets evaluated therein, in 2016." # 3. "The Report was maintained in the ordinary course of business." Service for the conclusory (FRE 701), speculative (FRE 602), misleading (FRE 403). # 403 Mr. Grewal does not (and cannot) lay any foundation for his assertion that NSAI is familiar with the assets described in the 2016 Reserve Report. His conclusory assertion is based on speculation and uninformed opinion. Mr. Grewal is not qualified to speak on behalf of NSAI. # Mr. Grewal also provides no foundation or factual support for his statement that the Report was "maintained in the ordinary course of business." Notably, the Debtor does not appear to have commissioned any Reserve Report other than the 2016

Case 9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 327 Filed 10/01/19 Entered 10/01/19 07:37:26 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 8

1

2

23

24

25

26

27

28

OBJECTIONS TO ERNESTO OLIVARES'S DECLARATION IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT

No.	Objectionable Testimony	Grounds for Objection	Sustained / Overruled
2.	¶ 3. "The Report was maintained in the ordinary course of business. Further, the Report was drafted by NSAI, which is familiar knowledgeable of the type of assets evaluated therein, in 2016."	Objections: lack of foundation (FRE 602), inadmissible opinion, conclusory (FRE 701), speculative (FRE 602), misleading (FRE 403). Mr. Olivares does not (and cannot) lay any foundation for his assertion that NSAI is familiar with the assets described in the 2016 Reserve Report. His conclusory assertion is based on	SUSTAINEI
		speculation and uninformed opinion. Mr. Olivares is not qualified to speak on behalf of NSAI.	
		Mr. Olivares also provides no	
		foundation or factual support for his statement that the Report was "maintained in the ordinary	
		course of business." Notably, the Debtor does not appear to have commissioned any Reserve	
		Report other than the 2016 Reserve Report.	

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP /s/ Darren L. Patrick Darren L. Patrick

400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407 E-mail: ejones@omm.com E-mail: bmetcalf@omm.com E-mail: dpatrick@omm.com

- 5 -

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS

Case	9:19-bk-11573-MB	Doc 327 Filed 10/01/19 Entered 10/01/19 07:37:26 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 8
1		Gary Svirsky Samantha M. Indelicato
2		Samantha M. Indelicato (appearing <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3		Seven Times Square New York, NY 10036
4		Telephone: (212) 326-2000 Facsimile: (212) 326-2061
5		(appearing pro hac vice) Seven Times Square New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 326-2000 Facsimile: (212) 326-2061 E-mail: gsvirsky@omm.com E-mail: sindelicato@omm.com
6		Attorneys for UBS AG, London Branch
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 6 -
		EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS

Case _l 9:19-bk-11573-MB	Doc 327	Filed 10/01/19	Entered 10/01/19 07:37:26	Desc
		ocument Pag		

1 PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT 2 I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. 3 My business address is 400 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071-2899. 4 A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT OF RANDEEP GREWAL AND 5 ERNESTO OLIVARES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE [DKT. 302-1, 302-2] will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by 6 LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner indicated below: 7 I. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF"): Pursuant to controlling General Order(s) and Local Bankruptcy Rule(s) ("LBR"), the foregoing 8 document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On 10/1/2019, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined 9 that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) indicated below: 10 Alicia Clough aclough@loeb.com, mnielson@loeb.com,ladocket@loeb.com 11 Marc S Cohen mscohen@loeb.com, klyles@loeb.com 12 Karl J Fingerhood karl.fingerhood@usdoj.gov, efile ees.enrd@usdoj.gov 13 Brian D Fittipaldi brian.fittipaldi@usdoj.gov Karen L Grant kgrant@silcom.com 14 Ira S Greene Ira.Greene@lockelord.com 15 Matthew C. Heyn Matthew.Heyn@doj.ca.gov, mcheyn@outlook.com 16 Brian L Holman b.holman@musickpeeler.com Razmig Izakelian razmigizakelian@quinnemanuel.com 17 Jeannie Kim jkim@friedmanspring.com 18 Michael L Moskowitz mlm@weltmosk.com, jg@weltmosk.com;aw@weltmosk.com 19 Darren L Patrick dpatrick@omm.com, darren-patrick-1373@ecf.pacerpro.com 20 **Jeffrey N Pomerantz** jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com 21 **Todd C. Ringstad** becky@ringstadlaw.com, arlene@ringstadlaw.com mitchell.rishe@doj.ca.gov Mitchell E Rishe 22 Daniel A Solitro dsolitro@lockelord.com, ataylor2@lockelord.com 23 Ross Spence ross@snowspencelaw.com, janissherrill@snowspencelaw.com;donnasutton@snowspencelaw.com;brittanyDecot 24 eau@snowspencelaw.com 25 Christopher D Sullivan csullivan@diamondmccarthy.com, mdomer@diamondmccarthy.com;kmartinez@diamondmccarthy.com 26 Jennifer Taylor itaylor@omm.com 27 Fred Whitaker lshertzer@cwlawyers.com pacerteam@gardencitygroup.com, 28 rjacobs@ecf.epigsystems.com;ECFInbox@epigsystems.com - 1 -

Case	9:19-bk-11573-MB Doc 327 Filed 10/01/19 Entered 10/01/19 07:37:26 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 8				
	a = coac r digo c c. c				
1	II. SERVED BY OVERNIGHT FEDEX: On 10/1/2019, I served the following person(s)				
2	and/or entity(ies) at the last known address(es) in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class,				
3	postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.				
4	DEBTOR:				
5	HVI Cat Canyon, Inc. c/o Capitol Corporate Services, Inc. 36 S. 18th Avenue Suite D Brighton, CO 80601				
6					
7					
8	ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTOR:				
9	Weltman & Moskowitz, LLP				
10	Attn: Michael L. Moskowitz 270 Madison Ave., Ste. 1400				
11	New York, NY 10016-0601				
12					
13	III. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE</u> <u>TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (indicate method for each person or entity served):</u>				
14	Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on 10/1/2019 I served the following				
15	person(s) and/or entity(ies) by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.				
16					
17	JUDGE:				
18	Hon. Martin R. Barash				
19	United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California				
20	21041 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 342 / Courtroom 303 Woodland Hills, CA 91367				
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the				
22	foregoing is true and correct.				
23	Executed this 1st day of October, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.				
24					
25	/s/ Jan Wallis				
26	Jan Wallis				
27					
28					
	- 2 -				

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS